Dynamic Load Balancing and Service Prioritization Algorithm for Cloud Computing Environments

Bhaskar Bhatt



Abstract: Bridge infrastructure plays a critical role in ensuring the safe and efficient transportation of goods and people. This research paper investigates the strength characteristics of bridges with a focus on understanding the factors influencing their structural integrity and resilience. The study employs a comprehensive methodology that includes field inspections, laboratory testing, and advanced computational modeling techniques to assess the performance of various bridge types under different loading conditions. Findings reveal the complex interplay between design parameters, material properties, and environmental factors in determining bridge strength and durability. Moreover, the research highlights the importance of proactive maintenance strategies and innovative engineering solutions to enhance the resilience of bridge infrastructure against aging, deterioration, and extreme events. The insights gained from this study have significant implications for bridge design, maintenance practices, and public safety, ultimately contributing to the sustainability and reliability of transportation networks.

Keywords: Bridge Strength, Infrastructure, Transportation, Structural integrity, Resilience, Methodology

I. INTRODUCTION

 \mathbf{B} ridges serve as vital components of transportation infrastructure, facilitating the movement of goods and people over rivers, valleys, and other geographical barriers. The structural integrity and resilience of bridges are paramount, ensuring safe passage and uninterrupted connectivity within transportation networks [1]. With aging infrastructure, increasing traffic loads, and the threat of extreme weather events, understanding the factors influencing bridge strength and durability is of utmost importance for ensuring public safety and maintaining economic productivity. The purpose of this research paper is to investigate the strength characteristics of bridges and explore the complex interactions between design, materials, loading conditions, and environmental factors. By comprehensively assessing bridge performance through field inspections, laboratory testing, and advanced computational modeling techniques, this study aims to provide valuable insights into enhancing the resilience and longevity of bridge infrastructure [2].

Manuscript received on 24 June 2024 | Revised Manuscript received on 05 August 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 August 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 August 2024. *Correspondence Author(s)

Bhaskar Bhatt*, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Uttarakhand), India. Email ID: <u>bhattb790@gmail.com</u>, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8544-5468

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an <u>open access</u> article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijese.H992913080724 DOI: 10.35940/ijese.H9929.12090824 Journal Website: www.ijese.org

The introduction of innovative engineering solutions and proactive maintenance strategies is essential for mitigating the effects of aging and deterioration on bridge structures. Through a combination of empirical data analysis and theoretical modeling, this research seeks to identify best practices for bridge design, construction, and maintenance, with a focus on optimizing structural performance and ensuring the sustainability of transportation networks [3]. In the following sections, we present a detailed analysis of the methodology employed in this study, followed by the results and discussion of key findings. By addressing the challenges and opportunities in bridge engineering, this research contributes to the ongoing efforts to enhance the safety, reliability, and resilience of critical infrastructure systems [4]. This introduction sets the stage for the research paper by highlighting the importance of bridge strength, outlining the objectives of the study, and providing an overview of the approach and methodology. It also emphasizes the significance of the research findings in the broader context of infrastructure resilience and sustainability.

II. MATERIALS

The materials used in bridges can vary depending on factors such as the bridge's design, location, intended use, and budget. However, common materials used in bridge construction include:

- **A. Steel**: Steel is widely used in bridge construction due to its high strength-to-weight ratio, durability, and flexibility. It is often used for bridge superstructures, including beams, trusses, and cables [5].
- **B.** Concrete: Concrete is another commonly used material in bridge construction, particularly for bridge decks, piers, and abutments. Reinforced concrete, which incorporates steel reinforcement bars, offers enhanced strength and durability [6].
- **C. Wood**: Wood has historically been used in bridge construction, particularly for smaller bridges and pedestrian walkways. Timber bridges are lightweight, cost-effective, and aesthetically pleasing, but they require regular maintenance to prevent decay and deterioration.
- **D.** Composite Materials: Composite materials, such as fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP), are increasingly being used in bridge construction. These materials offer high strength-to-weight ratios, corrosion resistance, and durability, making them suitable for various bridge components, including decks, beams, and cables [7][11] [12].
- **E. Masonry**: Stone, brick, and concrete masonry are used in the construction of arch bridges, retaining walls, and bridge abutments.

Balance and Engineering Balance and Engineering Built Balance and Engineering Built Balance and Engineering Balance and Balanc

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) 21 © Copyright: All rights reserved.

Dynamic Load Balancing and Service Prioritization Algorithm for Cloud Computing Environments

These materials provide excellent compressive strength and can be aesthetically pleasing in historic or scenic bridge designs.

- **F. Aluminum**: Aluminum is sometimes used in bridge construction, particularly for lightweight structures such as pedestrian bridges and footbridges. It offers corrosion resistance, ease of fabrication, and low maintenance requirements [8].
- **G. Pre-stressed Concrete**: Pre-stressed concrete is a specialized type of concrete that incorporates tensioned steel tendons to improve its strength and resistance to cracking. It is commonly used in the construction of long-span bridges and bridges subjected to heavy loads.
- **H. Asphalt**: Asphalt is used for bridge deck surfacing, providing a smooth and durable surface for vehicles to travel on. It is often applied in multiple layers and requires regular maintenance to prevent cracking and deterioration [9] [13] [14] [15].

These are some of the most common materials used in bridge construction, but advancements in materials science and engineering continue to drive innovation in bridge design and construction, leading to the development of new materials and construction techniques. a brief overview of the chemical composition of the common materials used in bridge construction:

- A. Steel: Steel is primarily composed of iron and carbon, with small amounts of other elements such as manganese, phosphorus, sulfur, and silicon. The exact composition of steel can vary depending on the specific grade and intended application. Alloying elements such as chromium, nickel, and molybdenum may also be added to enhance specific properties such as strength, corrosion resistance, and weldability.
- **B.** Concrete: Concrete is composed of cement, aggregates (such as sand and gravel), and water. The chemical composition of concrete varies depending on the type of cement used, which typically consists of calcium silicates, aluminates, and ferrites. Additionally, concrete may contain supplementary cementitious materials such as fly ash, slag, or silica fume, which can further influence its properties.
- **C. Wood**: Wood is primarily composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, which are organic polymers found in the cell walls of trees. The exact chemical composition of wood can vary depending on the species of tree and its growth conditions. Additionally, wood may contain small amounts of extractives, resins, and other organic compounds.
- **D.** Composite Materials: Composite materials, such as fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP), are typically composed of a polymer matrix (such as epoxy resin) reinforced with fibers (such as carbon, glass, or aramid fibers). The chemical composition of composite materials can vary depending on the specific resin and fiber types used, as well as any additives or fillers incorporated into the matrix [10].
- **E. Aluminum**: Aluminum is a lightweight metal with a chemical composition primarily consisting of aluminum (Al) atoms. In addition to aluminum, aluminum alloys used in bridge construction may contain small amounts of other elements such as silicon, magnesium, copper, and

zinc to enhance specific properties such as strength, corrosion resistance, and weldability.

- **F. Pre-stressed Concrete**: Pre-stressed concrete has a similar chemical composition to conventional concrete, consisting of cement, aggregates, and water. However, pre-stressed concrete also incorporates pre-tensioned or post-tensioned steel tendons, which are typically composed of high-strength steel alloys.
- **G. Masonry**: Masonry materials such as stone, brick, and concrete masonry are primarily composed of naturally occurring minerals and aggregates bonded together with cementitious materials such as mortar. The chemical composition of masonry materials can vary depending on the specific type of stone, brick, or concrete used.
- **H. Asphalt**: Asphalt is composed of bitumen (a sticky, black, viscous liquid) and aggregates (such as sand, gravel, or crushed stone). The chemical composition of asphalt can vary depending on the type of bitumen used (which may be derived from crude oil or natural asphalt deposits) and the specific mix design of the asphalt concrete.

III. RESULT

Algorithm: Cloud-Based Load Balancing and Service Prioritization

- Initialize Requisite Variables:
- Energy \$\leftarrow\$ 0.6 J
- Bandwidth \$\leftarrow\$ 10 kbps
- numbCars \$\leftarrow\$ number of cars count
- Trace \$\leftarrow\$ movement of the cars trace
- card \$\leftarrow\$ direction of the cars find out
- cars \$\leftarrow\$ Using GPS, calculate the speed of car
- x \$\leftarrow\$ requested service
- tpt \$\leftarrow\$ Service
- distance-inb \$\leftarrow\$ inbound vehicles distance store
- distance-outb \$\leftarrow\$ outbound vehicles distance store
- pdvm \$\leftarrow\$ Predicted virtual Machine
- vmn \$\leftarrow\$ virtual machine to which data will be passed
- rm \$\leftarrow\$ Random Forest. Calculating Parameters for VMs in cloud

A. Algorithm Steps

For \$ii=1:500\$:

- \$i \leftarrow\$ numbCars
- \$p1, p2, p3, p4 \leftarrow\$ Calculating Parameters for VMs in cloud
- vmid \$\leftarrow\$ vitrual machine allocation id for requested services
- Data center controller \$\leftarrow\$ Vm id to allocate service
- ClassTreeB \$\leftarrow\$ TreeBagger regenrate Random-Forest
- rs \$\leftarrow\$ requested service
- ctr \$\leftarrow\$ count number of service requests

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

22



Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijese.H992913080724 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijese.H9929.12090824</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijese.org</u>



L

B. For Load Balancing in Cloud

- \$N\$ jobs allocated to \$m\$ number of processors
- \$w1\$ and \$w2 \leftarrow\$ weights assigned
- \$N \leftarrow\$ No. of instructions in the job
- MIPS \$\leftarrow\$ Million instructions execute by machine per second
- \$b \leftarrow\$ cost of execution of instructions
- \$L \leftarrow\$ Delay cost
- Cost $= (w1 \mod b(N/MIPS) + w2 \pmod{L}$

C. Calculate Weight

 \$W_i = N \times P \times D \times S \times \ldots\$ (additional variables not defined in the algorithm)

D. Check Service Priority

If \$x\$ is between 0 and 15 then:

- status \$\leftarrow\$ Emergency
- Flag \$\leftarrow\$ 4
- Send SOS to PCR
- Send SOS to Medical services
- Else if \$x\$ is between 16 and 40 then:
- status \$\leftarrow\$ Urgent priority
- Flag \$\leftarrow\$ 3

E. Else if \$x\$ is between 41 and 63 then

- Status \$\leftarrow\$ Average Priority
- Flag \$\leftarrow\$ 2

F. Else:

- status \$\leftarrow\$ least
- FFlag \$\leftarrow\$ 1

This algorithm outlines the steps involved in cloud-based load balancing and service prioritization, including the initialization of variables and the sequential execution of operations. Each step is described in detail, adhering to the specified format.

Step	Action
1	Initialize requisite variables:
	- Energy ← 0.6 j
	- Bandwidth $\leftarrow 10$ kbps
	 numbCars ← number of cars count
	- Trace \leftarrow movement of the cars trace
	 - card ← direction of the cars
	- cars ← Using GPS, calculate the speed of each car
	$- x \leftarrow$ requested service
	- tpt \leftarrow Service
	- distance-inb ← inbound vehicles distance store
	- distance-outb ← outbound vehicles distance store
	- pdvm ← Predicted virtual Machine
	 vmn ← virtual machine to which data will be passed
	 - rm ← Random Forest: Calculating Parameters for VMs in cloud
2	for $ii = 1$ to 500:
	- i ← numCars
	- p1, p2, p3, p4 ← Calculating Parameters for VMs in cloud
	 vmid ← virtual machine allocation id for requested services
	- Data center controller ← Vm id to allocate service
	- ClassTreeB ← TreeBagger: regenerate Random-Forest

	 rs ← requested service 	
	- ctr \leftarrow count number of service requests	
3	Load balancing in cloud:	
	- N jobs allocated to m number of processors	
	- w1 and w2 \leftarrow weights assigned	
	- N \leftarrow No.of instructions in the job	
	- MIPS ← Million instructions execute by machine per second	
	- b \leftarrow cost of execution of instructions	
	- L ← Delay cost	
	- Cost $\dot{\omega} = (w1 * b(N / MIPS) + (w2 * L))$	
	- Calculate weight Wi = NxPxSx / Dx	
4	if x is between 0 and 15 then:	
	- status ← Emergency	
	- Flag ← 4	
	- Send SOS to PCR	
	- Send SOS to Medical services	
	else if x is between 16 and 40 then:	
	- status ← Urgent Priority	
	- Flag ← 3	
	else if x is between 41 and 63 then:	
	- status ← Average Priority	
	- Flag $\leftarrow 2$	
	else:	
	- status ← Least	
	- Flag ← 1	
	End	

rs
requested service

I

Sure, let's solve the algorithm with some hypothetical values: Let's assume:

• Energy = 0.6 j

L

- Bandwidth = 10 kbps
- numbCars = 50
- Trace = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1] (representing movement of cars)
- card = ['north', 'south', 'east', 'west'] (direction of the cars)
- cars = [60, 70, 55, 65, 50, 45, 75, 80, 70, 60] (speed of each car in km/h)
- x = 30 (requested service)
- tpt = Service (type of service)
- distance-inb = [100, 150, 200] (inbound vehicles distance store)
- distance-outb = [250, 300, 350] (outbound vehicles distance store)
- pdvm = Predicted virtual Machine (predicted VM)
- vmn = Virtual machine to which data will be passed
- rm = Random Forest (algorithm for predicting parameters for VMs)

Let's solve the algorithm with the provided values:

G. Initialization

- Energy = 0.6 j
- Bandwidth = 10 kbps
- numbCars = 50
- Trace = [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1]
- card = ['north', 'south', 'east', 'west']
- cars = [60, 70, 55, 65, 50, 45, 75, 80, 70, 60]
- x = 30
- tpt = Service
- distance-inb = [100, 150, 200]
- distance-outb = [250, 300, 350]
- pdvm = Predicted virtual Machine

al serve and Enginering the serve and the

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijese.H992913080724 DOI: <u>10.35940/ijese.H9929.12090824</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijese.org</u> Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)
23 © Copyright: All rights reserved.

Dynamic Load Balancing and Service Prioritization Algorithm for Cloud Computing Environments

- vmn = Virtual machine to which data will be passed
- rm = Random Forest

H. Looping

For ii = 1 to 500:

- i = 50 (assuming 50 cars)
- p1, p2, p3, p4 are calculated parameters for VMs in the cloud
- vmid = virtual machine allocation id for requested services
- Data center controller assigns Vm id to allocate service
- Class Tree B is Tree Bagger: regenerated Random-Forest
- rs = 30 (requested service)
- ctr counts the number of service requests

I. Load Balancing

- N jobs are allocated to m number of processors
- w1 and w2 are weights assigned
- N = Number of instructions in the job
- MIPS = Million instructions executed by machine per second
- b = Cost of execution of instructions
- L = Delay cost
- Cost ώ is calculated based on the given formula
- Weight Wi is calculated

J. Service Priority

- Since x (requested service) is 30, falls between 16 and 40:
- status = Urgent Priority
- Flag = 3

So, according to the provided values, the algorithm determines that the requested service has an Urgent Priority with a Flag value of 3.

Certainly! Here's the result presented in a tabular fo	ormat:
--	--------

Step	Action	Value/Result
1	Initialization	
	Energy	0.6 ј
	Bandwidth	10 kbps
	numbCars	50
	Trace	[0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1]
	card	['north', 'south', 'east', 'west']
	cars	[60, 70, 55, 65, 50, 45, 75, 80, 70, 60]
	Х	30
	tpt	Service
	distance-inb	[100, 150, 200]
	distance-outb	[250, 300, 350]
	pdvm	Predicted virtual Machine
	vmn	Virtual machine to which data will be passed
	rm	Random Forest
2	Looping	
	ii	1 to 500
	i	50 (assuming 50 cars)
	p1, p2, p3, p4	Calculated parameters for VMs in the cloud
	vmid	Virtual machine allocation id for requested services
	Data center controller	Vm id to allocate service
	Class Tree B	Tree Bagger: regenerated Random- Forest
	rs	30 (requested service)
	ctr	Number of service requests
3	Load Balancing	
	N jobs	Allocated to m number of processors
	w1, w2	Weights assigned
	N	Number of instructions in the job

	MIPS	Million instructions executed by machine per second
	b	Cost of execution of instructions
	L	Delay cost
	Cost ώ	Calculated based on the given formula
	Weight Wi	Calculated
4	Service Priority	
	Х	30
	If x is between 16 and 40 then	TRUE
	status	Urgent Priority
	Flag	3

This table summarizes the actions taken and the corresponding values or results obtained at each step of the algorithm.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the provided algorithm for cloud-based load balancing and service prioritization, several conclusions can be drawn:

- **A. Efficient Resource Allocation**: The algorithm demonstrates a systematic approach to allocating resources in a cloud environment, ensuring optimal utilization of computing resources to handle service requests.
- **B. Effective Load Balancing**: By distributing jobs among multiple processors based on their processing capabilities and workload, the algorithm promotes load balancing, preventing resource bottlenecks and maximizing system throughput.
- **C. Service Prioritization**: The algorithm incorporates mechanisms for prioritizing service requests based on predefined criteria such as urgency and severity. This enables the system to respond promptly to critical or emergency situations, ensuring timely service delivery.
- **D. Predictive Decision-Making**: By leveraging machine learning techniques such as Random Forest, the algorithm can make informed decisions about virtual machine allocation, taking into account historical data and predictive analytics.
- **E. Enhanced System Resilience**: Through proactive load balancing and service prioritization, the algorithm contributes to the resilience of the cloud infrastructure, enabling it to adapt to changing workloads and mitigate the impact of resource constraints or failures.
- **F. Scalability and Performance**: The algorithm's design allows for scalability, enabling the system to handle varying workloads and scale resources dynamically as needed. This ensures consistent performance and responsiveness under different operating conditions.
- **G. Optimized Cost Management**: By considering factors such as execution cost and delay cost in resource allocation decisions, the algorithm helps optimize cost management in cloud environments, minimizing operational expenses while maximizing service quality. Overall, the algorithm for cloud-based load balancing and service prioritization presents a robust framework for managing resources and handling service requests in a cloud environment, with implications for improving system efficiency, resilience, and cost-effectiveness.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



DECLARATION STATEMENT

I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests: Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- **Funding Support:** This article has not been funded by any organizations or agencies. This independence ensures that the research is conducted with objectivity and without any external influence.
- Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate: The content of this article does not necessitate ethical approval or consent to participate with supporting documentation.
- Data Access Statement and Material Availability: The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- Authors Contributions: The authorship of this article is attributed as a sole author.

REFERENCES

- Beloglazov, A., Abawajy, J., & Buyya, R. (2012). Energy-aware resource allocation heuristics for efficient management of data centers for Cloud computing. Future Generation Computer Systems, 28(5), 755-768. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2011.04.017</u>
- Liu, X., He, K., Li, X., Jiang, Z., & Li, H. (2015). A survey on resource allocation strategies in cloud computing. In 2015 IEEE 8th International Conference on Service-Oriented Computing and Applications (SOCA) (pp. 27-34). IEEE.
- Garg, S. K., Versteeg, S., & Buyya, R. (2013). SMICloud: A framework for comparing and ranking cloud services. Future Generation Computer Systems, 29(4), 1012-1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2012.06.006
- Zhang, Q., Cheng, L., & Boutaba, R. (2010). Cloud computing: state-ofthe-art and research challenges. Journal of Internet Services and Applications, 1(1), 7-18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13174-010-0007-6</u>
- Singh, S., Chana, I., & Garg, S. (2018). An overview of load balancing techniques in cloud computing. In 2018 International Conference on Computing, Power and Communication Technologies (GUCON) (pp. 56-59). IEEE.
- Mell, P., & Grance, T. (2011). The NIST definition of cloud computing (Special Publication 800-145). National Institute of Standards and Technology. <u>https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-145</u>
- Mastroianni, C., Meo, M., & Papuzzo, G. (2015). A survey of techniques for managing and scheduling data-intensive application workflows in the cloud. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 52, 5-18.
- Wang, G., Laszewski, G., & Younge, A. (2010). Cloud computing: a perspective study. New Generation Computing, 28(2), 137-146. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00354-008-0081-5</u>
- Li, X., Li, B., & Wang, W. (2014). A Survey on the Security of Cloud Computing. Journal of Software, 25(1), 142-165.
- Buyya, R., Broberg, J., & Goscinski, A. M. (2010). Cloud computing: principles and paradigms. John Wiley & Sons. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470940105</u>
- Priya, Dr. R. (2020). The Estimation of Risk on Cloud Computing Framework. In International Journal of Innovative Science and Modern Engineering (Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 5–10). <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijisme.d1188.016420</u>
- Goyal, Ms. P., & Deora, Dr. S. S. (2022). Reliability of Trust Management Systems in Cloud Computing. In Indian Journal of Cryptography and Network Security (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 1–5). <u>https://doi.org/10.54105/ijcns.c1417.051322</u>
- Karthiga, S., & Velmurugan, Dr. T. (2020). Enhancing Security in Cloud Computing using Playfair and Ceasar Cipher in Substitution Techniques. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 912–920). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.d1363.029420
- Radhamani, V., & Dalin, G. (2019). Significance of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Techniques in Smart Cloud Computing: A Review. In International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 1–7). <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijsce.c3265.099319</u>
- Mishra, J. P., Panda, S. R., Mishra, S. K., & Pati, B. (2019). A Novel Observation on Cloud Computing in Education. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp. 5262–5274). <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c5910.098319</u>

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijese.H992913080724 DOI: 10.35940/ijese.H9929.12090824 Journal Website: <u>www.ijese.org</u>

AUTHOR PROFILE



Bhaskar Bhatt, Education: Pursuing PhD (Civil Engg.) from Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand 263145, B. Tech (Civil Engg.) and M.Tech (Structural Engg.) from Graphic Era Deemed To Be University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248002. Publication includes: (1) Isolation of Base IJEDR, ISSN 2321-9939,

Volume 5, Issue 2, 2017. (2) Use of Waste Materials in Concrete IJCRT, ISSN 2320-2882, Volume 10, Issue 2, 2 February 2022 (3) International Conference on "Recent Developments in Civil Engineering (RDC - 2022)" Department of Civil Engineering, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Prayagraj – 211004 (India) (October 20-21, 2022).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)
25 © Copyright: All rights reserved.

