International Journal of Emerging Science and Engineering (IJESE)
ISSN: 2319–6378 (Online), Volume-13 Issue-4, March 2025

Optimizing Asset Integrity for Critical Manufacturing Systems Using Advanced Proactive Maintenance Strategies

Attia Hussien Gomaa

Abstract: ***Asset Integrity Management (AIM) is fundamental for optimizing asset performance by improving reliability, availability, maintainability, and safety (RAMS), while minimizing operational risks and costs. Failures in critical assets can result in substantial financial losses, safety hazards, and environmental consequences, highlighting the need for proactive maintenance strategies. This study introduces an innovative AIM framework that seamlessly integrates advanced technologies with proven methodologies to address these challenges. The framework combines Machine Learning (ML) for predictive analytics, enabling early fault detection, and Digital Twins (DT) for real-time asset monitoring and simulation. It also incorporates established approaches such as Risk-Based Inspection (RBI), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), and Lean Six Sigma (LSS). This integration forms a holistic, data-driven approach to decision-making, operational optimization, risk reduction, and continuous improvement. A comprehensive literature review identifies critical gaps in traditional AIM practices, particularly the limited integration of emerging technologies and methodologies. The proposed framework bridges these gaps, enhancing asset performance, safety, and sustainability. This research highlights the transformative potential of combining advanced technologies with established AIM methodologies. It offers a strategic roadmap for industries to improve asset integrity, achieve operational excellence, and foster long-term sustainability. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study to unify these six methodologies into a cohesive framework, providing valuable insights for implementing advanced maintenance strategies in complex industrial environments.***

Keywords: ***Asset Integrity Management, Proactive Maintenance, Risk-Based Inspection, Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Total Productive Maintenance, Lean Six Sigma, Machine Learning, Digital Twin.***

Abbreviations:
AIM: Asset Integrity Management
ATM: Turnaround maintenance
CMFD: Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis
CoF: Consequences of failure
DT: Digital twins
FMEA: Failure mode effect analysis
KPIs: Key Performance Indicators
LSS: Lean six sigma
ML: Machine Learning
OEE: Overall Equipment Effectiveness
PoF: Probability of failure
RAMS: Reliability, availability, maintainability, and safety
RBI: Risk-based inspection
RBM: Risk-Based Maintenance
RCM: Reliability-Centered Maintenance
TPM: Total Productive Maintenance
AHP: Analytical hierarchy process

Manuscript Received on 21 January 2025 | First Revised Manuscript Received on 31 January 2025 | Second Revised Manuscript Received on 16 February 2025 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 March 2025 | Manuscript published on 30 March 2025.

***Correspondence Author(s)
Prof. Dr. Attia Hussien Gomaa*, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering. Shubra, Benha University, Cairo, Egypt. Email ID: attia.goma@feng.bu.edu.eg, ORCID ID: 0009-0007-9770-6796

I. INTRODUCTION

Asset Integrity Management (AIM) is a strategic approach designed to ensure critical assets' safe, reliable, and cost-effective operation throughout their lifecycle. AIM minimizes risks and reduces operational costs by optimizing reliability, availability, maintainability, and safety (RAMS). This approach is especially vital in high-risk industries like manufacturing and oil & gas, where asset failures can lead to significant financial losses, safety hazards, and environmental damage. As assets age and systems become more complex, traditional reactive maintenance strategies are no longer sufficient to guarantee long-term performance. Proactive maintenance strategies have emerged to detect and address potential issues before they escalate into failures, minimize downtime, extend asset lifecycles, enhance safety, and ensure regulatory compliance. Additionally, these strategies contribute to operational efficiency and sustainability by optimizing resource use and reducing waste, Gomaa, 2022, [1].

Although proactive maintenance requires initial investment in technology, training, and infrastructure, the long-term benefits—such as cost savings, increased resilience, and improved energy efficiency—far outweigh the upfront costs. The integration of advanced technologies with established maintenance methodologies provides an effective means of optimizing asset performance and ensuring operational sustainability.

This paper introduces an integrated AIM framework that combines established maintenance strategies with cutting-edge technologies to enhance asset performance, improve safety, and extend asset lifecycles. The key components of this framework include Risk-Based Inspection (RBI), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), Lean Six Sigma (LSS), Digital Twin (DT), and Machine Learning (ML). Together, these elements enable enhanced real-time monitoring, predictive decision-making, and optimized risk management:

1. Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) prioritizes maintenance tasks based on the likelihood and impact of asset failure, ensuring efficient resource allocation, Gomaa, 2023, [2].

2. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) tailors maintenance strategies to maximize asset reliability and minimize operational costs, Gomaa, 2024, [3].
3. Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) aims to improve Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) and foster continuous improvement, Gomaa, 2024, [3].
4. Lean Six Sigma (LSS) eliminates inefficiencies and waste in maintenance processes, optimizing operations, Gomaa, 2024, [4].
5. Digital Twin (DT) provides real-time digital replicas of assets, enabling continuous monitoring and performance optimization, Gomaa, 2024, [2].
6. Machine Learning (ML) leverages predictive analytics to forecast potential failures and optimize maintenance schedules, Alshboul et al., 2024, [5].

By integrating these advanced technologies with traditional maintenance practices, the proposed AIM framework overcomes the limitations of conventional methods. This holistic approach reduces downtime, lowers maintenance costs, and enhances asset reliability and safety. Case studies across industries demonstrate the framework's effectiveness, showing reduced costs, increased asset uptime, and longer asset lifespans. Although challenges such as high initial costs and technological integration exist, this research offers practical solutions to help organizations overcome these barriers.

Despite the effectiveness of each methodology, their combined potential remains largely untapped in asset management practices. This research bridges this gap by presenting a novel, integrated AIM framework that combines traditional strategies with emerging technologies. The framework provides a comprehensive solution for addressing the increasing complexity of asset management, promoting operational excellence, and ensuring long-term sustainability. The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews existing literature on AIM, identifying trends, methodologies, and challenges. Section 3 discusses research gaps and opportunities for enhancing asset management practices. Section 4 outlines the proposed methodology and integrated AIM framework. Section 5 concludes with key findings, insights, and recommendations for future research and industry applications.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

As industries evolve and become more complex, traditional reactive maintenance approaches are increasingly insufficient. Proactive maintenance, in contrast, offers numerous benefits, including improved asset reliability, reduced downtime, and more effective risk management. These advantages lead to long-term benefits, such as cost savings and optimized resource utilization. This review highlights key methodologies and technologies that are driving proactive maintenance within Asset Integrity Management (AIM). Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) prioritizes maintenance activities based on the probability and consequences of asset failure, using data analytics to allocate resources efficiently. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), integrated with IoT and advanced analytics, customizes maintenance strategies for each asset, optimizing reliability and minimizing operational costs. Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) improves Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) through a focus on continuous improvement and employee participation. Lean Six Sigma (LSS) reduces waste and variability in maintenance operations, promoting efficiency and process optimization. Digital Twin (DT) technology enables real-time digital replicas of assets for continuous monitoring, providing early detection of potential issues. Finally, Machine Learning (ML) leverages data analysis to predict failures and optimize maintenance schedules, ensuring more proactive and effective maintenance management.

A. Review of Risk-Based Inspection

Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) is a strategic approach that prioritizes inspection and maintenance efforts based on the likelihood and impact of asset failure, targeting high-risk components to optimize performance, enhance safety, and minimize downtime and costs. This method is particularly vital in industries such as manufacturing and oil & gas, where asset failures can lead to significant financial losses, safety hazards, and environmental damage. Standardized by API 581 for critical assets, including pressure vessels and piping systems, RBI involves several key processes: risk assessment (evaluating failure probabilities using operational data), consequence analysis (assessing safety, environmental, and financial impacts), inspection planning (prioritizing high-risk components for timely interventions), and continuous monitoring (adjusting inspection schedules as necessary). By focusing on the most critical risks, RBI improves asset integrity, extends asset lifecycles, and reduces maintenance costs (Melo et al. (2019), [6]).

As shown in Table 1, the application and evolution of RBI have been extensively studied, demonstrating its importance in effective risk management. Javid (2025), [7] introduced a multi-objective RBI framework using genetic algorithms, optimizing the balance between risk reduction and inspection costs for greater efficiency. Almeida de Rezende et al. (2024), [8] developed a reliability-based approach for offshore mooring chain inspections, integrating fatigue and corrosion models for more accurate assessments. Huang et al. (2023), [9] proposed an RBI framework for pipelines, integrating external corrosion and dents with Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) to optimize inspection intervals.

Recent innovations have further enhanced RBI in sectors such as oil and gas. Aditiyawarman et al. (2023), [10] integrated machine learning into RBI processes, advancing risk management capabilities. Zhang et al. (2023), [11] explored the cost-effectiveness of Condition Monitoring Systems (CMS) for dynamic asset performance monitoring in RBI planning. Eskandarzade et al. (2022), [12] developed an RBI framework for underground pipelines, combining risk assessments with damage progression models, while Sözen et al. (2022), [13] focused on assessing internal surface defects in pipelines under varying pressures. Offshore industries have also benefited from RBI applications. Hameed et al. (2021), [14] studied corrosion and fatigue in offshore pipeline inspections, while Agistina et al. (2021), [15] applied API 581-based RBI to separator machines in geothermal power plants.

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

Other studies, including those by Abubakirov et al. (2020), [16] and Rachman and Ratnayake (2018), [17], incorporated dynamic Bayesian networks and artificial neural networks to optimize pipeline inspections and improve RBI screening for hydrocarbon systems. Early research by Arzaghi et al. (2017), [18] and Kamsu-Foguem (2016), [19] refined RBI methodologies for subsea pipelines and petroleum production systems, with further contributions from Febriyana et al. (2019), [20] and Melo et al. (2019), [6], addressing challenges in offshore and unpiggable pipeline inspections.

Despite its success, many current RBI models still rely on static, historical data, limiting their adaptability to real-time operational changes. Key research gaps include the need for flexible RBI frameworks applicable across industries, integrating real-time environmental and operational data for improved decision-making, and developing tools that effectively communicate risks to non-expert stakeholders. Future research should focus on advancing real-time, dynamic RBI models that incorporate AI and real-time data systems to enable more accurate, cost-efficient maintenance strategies and support long-term asset integrity and performance.

Table 1: Summary of the Risk-Based Inspection Review

Aspect	Details
RBI Applications & Case Studies	- Offshore pipelines (Hameed et al., 2021, [14]). - Geothermal separator machines (Agistina et al., 2021, [15]). - Underground pipelines (Eskandarzade et al., 2022, [12]).
RBI Recent Innovations	- Genetic algorithms for risk and cost optimization (Javid, 2025, [7]). - Reliability-based mooring chain approach (Almeida de Rezende et al., 2024, [8]). - DBNs for pipeline inspections (Huang et al., 2023, [9]). - ML integration in RBI (Aditiyawarman et al., 2023, [10]). - CMS for dynamic asset monitoring (Zhang et al., 2023, [11]).
RBI Challenges	- Dependence on static data. - Limited real-time adaptability.
RBI Research Gaps	- Need for adaptable RBI frameworks. - Real-time data integration. - Tools for non-expert risk communication.
RBI Future Directions	Develop dynamic RBI models with AI and real-time data for enhanced decision-making, cost-efficiency, and asset integrity.

B. Review of Reliability-Centered Maintenance

Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) is a structured methodology that identifies optimal maintenance strategies by analyzing an asset's functions, failure modes, and consequences, to minimize downtime, extend asset lifecycles, and align maintenance efforts with organizational goals. The RCM process involves: 1) Asset Function Analysis to identify critical functions; 2) Failure Mode Identification to pinpoint potential disruptions; 3) Consequence Analysis to evaluate impacts; 4) Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) to prioritize failure modes; 5) Maintenance Strategy Selection to determine the most effective actions (preventive, predictive, or corrective); 6) Implementation and Monitoring to execute and adjust strategies; and 7) Continuous Improvement to refine maintenance practices. Integration with advanced technologies further enhances RCM's ability to improve asset reliability and reduce risks (Resende et al., 2024, [21]).

As illustrated in Table 2, numerous studies highlight RCM's effectiveness in optimizing maintenance across diverse industries. Liu et al. (2022), [22] applied RCM to high-speed rail, leveraging predictive models to prevent facility deterioration and reduce costs. Ali Ahmed Qaid et al. (2024), [23] developed a fuzzy-FMECA framework for analyzing failure modes in manufacturing machinery, enabling data-driven, criticality-based maintenance. In the utility sector, Asghari and Jafari (2024), [24] applied RCM to improve MTBF and operational efficiency in water treatment pumps, while Cahyati et al. (2024), [25] reduced maintenance costs by 70% in a processing plant. RCM's adaptability is also demonstrated in industries such as boiler engines (Sembiring, 2024, [26]), cement plants (Al-Farsi and Syafiie, 2023, [27]), and aerospace (Resende et al., 2024, [21]).

Despite its success, traditional RCM models often rely on static schedules and fail to integrate real-time data, limiting their flexibility in dynamic operational environments. Key research gaps include the need for adaptive RCM frameworks that incorporate real-time data for better failure mode assessment, exploring the impact of human decision-making on RCM effectiveness, and integrating predictive analytics for proactive maintenance. Future research should focus on developing flexible, real-time RCM models that integrate operational data and advanced analytics while considering human factors to improve implementation. These innovations will enhance asset performance, reduce unplanned downtime, and optimize maintenance practices, reinforcing RCM's importance in modern asset management.

Table 2: Summary of the Reliability-Centered Maintenance Review

Aspect	Details
RCM Applications & Case Studies	- High-speed rail (Liu et al., 2024, [22]) - Manufacturing machinery (Ali Ahmed Qaid et al., 2024, [23]) - Water treatment pumps (Asghari & Jafari, 2024, [24]) - Processing plants (Cahyati et al., 2024, [25]) - Boiler engines (Sembiring, 2024, [26]) - Cement plants (Al-Farsi & Syafiie, 2023, [27]) - Aerospace (Resende et al., 2024, [21])
RCM Recent Innovations	- Fuzzy-FMECA for failure analysis (Ali Ahmed Qaid et al., 2024, [23]) - Industry 4.0 integration (Introna & Santolamazza, 2024, [28]; Jiang et al., 2024, [29]) - Predictive maintenance for rail (Liu et al., 2024, [24])
RCM Challenges	- Reliance on static schedules - Limited integration of real-time data
RCM Research Gaps	- Need for adaptive frameworks - Integration of real-time data - Influence of human factors on decision-making
RCM Future Directions	Development of dynamic, real-time RCM models incorporating predictive analytics to improve decision-making, reduce downtime, and optimize asset performance

C. Review on Total Productive Maintenance

Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) is a comprehensive maintenance strategy aimed at maximizing Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) by implementing proactive and predictive maintenance practices. The primary goals are to reduce downtime, prevent breakdowns, and optimize equipment performance. Key elements of TPM include Initial Cleaning and Inspection to detect issues early, Autonomous Maintenance empowering employees to handle basic maintenance tasks, Planned Maintenance to schedule routine checks and prevent failures, and Quality Maintenance ensuring equipment reliability for consistent product quality. Additional components include Focused Improvement to address specific performance issues, Education and Training to improve employee skills, Early Equipment Management integrating maintenance during design, and SHE Improvement to enhance safety, health, and environmental practices. Through these approaches, TPM increases reliability, reduces costs, boosts productivity, improves quality, and enhances employee satisfaction, Gomaa, 2024, [3]. As shown in Table 3, TPM has demonstrated significant success in a variety of industries, including manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, and services. The integration of TPM with Lean Management, Industry 4.0 technologies, and advanced statistical methods has expanded its application. Innovations like the integration of IoT and big data analytics (Khosroniya et al., 2024, [30]) and the use of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in cement plants (Amrina & Firda, 2024, [31]) have improved TPM's adaptability. Case studies across sectors further illustrate TPM's impact. For example, substantial improvements in OEE and reduced downtime have been achieved in the steel and automotive industries (Biswas, 2024, [32]; Jurewicz et al., 2023, [33]). In power distribution systems, TPM led to cost reductions and greater efficiency (Harsanto et al., 2023, [34]), while in Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) plants, it resulted in improved OEE and lower maintenance costs (Shannon et al., 2023, [35]). Similar benefits were observed in the machining industry, where machine reliability and efficiency were notably enhanced (Pinto et al., 2020, [36]).

Despite its benefits, TPM's reliance on static data and traditional methods limits its adaptability in dynamic environments. Future advancements should focus on incorporating real-time data analytics for more accurate failure predictions and dynamic maintenance scheduling. Additionally, AI-driven decision-making tools could empower operators to make proactive, data-driven decisions, enhancing maintenance outcomes. Aligning TPM with supply chain management can further optimize parts availability and improve maintenance coordination. These innovations will make TPM a more dynamic and flexible system, reducing downtime and enhancing decision-making in both maintenance and supply chain operations.

Table 3: Summary of the Total Productive Maintenance Review

Aspect	Details
TPM Applications & Case Studies	- Steel & automotive industries (Biswas, 2024, [32]; Jurewicz et al., 2023, [33]) - Power distribution (Harsanto et al., 2023, [34]) - API plants (Shannon et al., 2023, [35]) - Machining industry (Pinto et al., 2020, [36])
TPM Recent Innovations	- IoT & big data integration (Khosroniya et al., 2024, [30]) - AHP in cement plants (Amrina & Firda, 2024, [31]) - Autonomous maintenance with Lean tools (Kose et al., 2022, [37])
TPM Challenges	- Static data reliance limits adaptability - Lack of real-time analytics integration - Difficulty in dynamic operational environments
TPM Research Gaps	- Real-time data integration for predictive maintenance - AI-driven dynamic scheduling - Alignment with supply chain management
TPM Future Directions	- Real-time data & AI integration for proactive maintenance - Enhanced decision-making tools - Optimization with supply chain coordination

D. Review of Lean Six Sigma in Proactive Maintenance

Lean Six Sigma (LSS) combines the waste reduction principles of Lean with the focus on minimizing process variation from Six Sigma. It provides a data-driven, structured approach to optimize maintenance, enhance asset reliability, and drive operational excellence. LSS is especially effective in industries with critical equipment, utilizing tools such as value stream mapping, root cause analysis (RCA), statistical process control (SPC), and the DMAIC framework (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control). These tools help identify inefficiencies, streamline workflows, predict failures, reduce downtime, and optimize resource utilization, thereby extending asset lifecycles. The typical steps in LSS implementation for proactive maintenance include: 1) defining problems and goals, 2) measuring baseline data, 3) analyzing root causes, 4) improving workflows using Lean and Six Sigma tools, 5) controlling performance through standardized procedures, and 6) sustaining improvements by documenting best practices. By adopting this methodology, organizations can reduce costs, improve efficiency, and foster a culture of continuous improvement, Gomaa, 2024, [4].

As illustrated in Table 4, several case studies highlight LSS's versatility. Al Farihi et al. (2023), [38] used Root Cause Analysis, Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), and Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) to reduce breakdowns in the automotive sector. Trubetskaya et al. (2023), [39] applied the LSS-DMAIC framework to shorten maintenance shutdown durations in dairy plants. Arsakulasooriya et al. (2024), [40] identified and addressed maintenance wastes in Sri Lanka's high-rise buildings. Torre and Bonamigo (2024), [41] applied Lean 4.0 principles to optimize hydraulic system maintenance in the steel industry, leading to significant performance improvements.

Innovative frameworks integrating LSS with new technologies further expand its applications. Gomaa (2024), [3] integrated Digital Twin technology with LSS in Egypt's petrochemical sector to improve Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) and maintenance efficiency. Singha Mahapatra and Shenoy (2022), [42] developed the Lean Maintenance Index (LMI) to assess and improve maintenance practices.

Despite LSS's proven success, challenges remain in predictive maintenance, real-time data integration, and the use of AI/ML techniques. Studies by Karunakaran (2016), [43] and others underscore LSS's effectiveness in industries like aircraft maintenance, textiles, and oil workflows. However, further research is needed to leverage real-time data and advanced analytics to enhance adaptability and optimize solutions.

Future research should focus on developing adaptive LSS models that can respond to real-time production and market dynamics. Integrating AI and machine learning will support continuous optimization. Moreover, applying LSS to multi-asset systems could further improve overall maintenance performance. Addressing these challenges will position LSS as a more flexible and data-driven solution to modern maintenance challenges.

Table 4: Summary of the Review of Lean Six Sigma in Proactive Maintenance

Aspect	Details
LSS Applications & Case Studies	- Automotive sector: Use of Root Cause Analysis, TPM, and RCM to reduce breakdowns (Al Farihi et al., 2023, [38]) - Dairy industry: LSS-DMAIC framework for reducing maintenance shutdowns (Trubetskaya et al., 2023, [39]) - Sri Lankan high-rise buildings: Addressing maintenance waste (Arsakulasooriya et al., 2024, [40]) - Steel industry: Hydraulic system maintenance optimization via Lean 4.0 (Torre & Bonamigo, 2024, [41])
LSS Recent Innovations	- Digital Twin integration with LSS for OEE and maintenance improvements in Egypt's petrochemical sector (Gomaa, 2024, [3]) - Development of Lean Maintenance Index (LMI) to evaluate and enhance maintenance practices (Singha Mahapatra & Shenoy, 2022, [42])
LSS Challenges	- Gaps in predictive maintenance capabilities and integration of real-time data - Limited adaptation of dynamic models to address shifting operational conditions - Underutilization of AI/ML for maintenance optimization
LSS Research Gaps	- Need for advanced predictive models to support proactive maintenance - Further integration of real-time data analytics for data-driven decision-making - Expansion of AI/ML applications in enhancing dynamic maintenance strategies
LSS Future Directions	- Development of adaptive, real-time models that respond to dynamic production and market changes - Greater integration of AI and machine learning to optimize predictive maintenance - Extending LSS applications to multi-asset systems for broader performance optimization

E. Review of Digital Twins in Proactive Maintenance

Digital Twin (DT) technology is transforming proactive maintenance by creating real-time virtual replicas of physical assets, enabling continuous monitoring, failure prediction, and anomaly detection. By integrating with IoT sensors, DTs can identify potential failures early, optimize maintenance schedules, and extend asset lifecycles. This results in improved asset reliability and operational efficiency, particularly for high-risk assets. Implementing DT technology involves several critical steps: 1) defining objectives, such as minimizing downtime and maximizing efficiency, 2) deploying IoT sensors to collect real-time data, 3) creating a digital model of each asset that reflects its operational conditions, 4) enabling continuous data streaming for real-time monitoring, anomaly detection, and early failure identification, 5) leveraging machine learning algorithms to improve prediction accuracy, and 6) running simulations and "what-if" scenarios to refine maintenance strategies and optimize resource allocation. Once successfully implemented, DT systems can be scaled to other assets and integrated with enterprise systems like CMMS and ERP, enhancing overall operational performance, Gomaa, 2024, [4].

As shown in Table 5, key applications of DT demonstrate its versatility across industries. For instance, Xue et al. (2024), [44] developed a DT-

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

based fault diagnosis system for CNC machine tools, while Liu et al.
(2024), [45] applied DT technology to tool condition monitoring. In the automotive sector, Karkaria et al. (2024), [46] proposed a DT framework for predictive tire health monitoring, and Wang et al. (2024), [47] used DT to optimize maintenance scheduling for wind turbines. These applications illustrate how DTs are addressing diverse maintenance challenges across sectors such as manufacturing, automotive, and energy.

Ongoing research is exploring further applications of DT. Attaran et al. (2024), [48] examined the integration of DT with the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) to improve asset management, while Minghui et al. (2023), [49] developed a DT-driven early warning system for gas turbines. Additionally, You et al. (2021), [50] categorized DT research into three main areas: application frameworks, modeling methods, and interactions between physical and virtual systems, with a focus on model fidelity.

Despite its promising applications, scaling DT for large, complex systems remains a challenge. Future research should focus on integrating DT with Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR), conducting cost-benefit analyses to assess the scalability of DT applications—particularly for legacy systems—and developing real-time feedback loops to optimize proactive maintenance strategies. These advancements will expand the flexibility, scalability, and effectiveness of DT technology, leading to significant improvements in asset performance, reliability, and operational efficiency.

Table 5: Summary of the Review of Digital Twins in Proactive Maintenance

Aspect	Details
DT Applications & Case Studies	- Xue et al. (2024), [44]: Developed a DT-based fault diagnosis system for CNC machine tools. - Karkaria et al. (2024), [46]: Proposed a DT framework for predictive maintenance and tire health monitoring in automotive. - Wang et al. (2024), [47]: Created a DT system for early fault detection and maintenance scheduling in wind turbines.
DT Recent Innovations	- Integration of IoT sensors for real-time data collection and anomaly detection. - Machine learning algorithms to enhance prediction accuracy and optimize schedules. - Minghui et al. (2023), [49]: Introduced a DT-driven early warning system for gas turbines.
DT Challenges	- Scaling DT for large, complex systems. - Integration issues with legacy systems and existing infrastructure. - Ensuring model accuracy to effectively replicate physical asset conditions.
DT Research Gaps	- Limited integration with AR/VR for enhanced asset management. - Lack of comprehensive cost-benefit analyses for DT application scalability. - Need for real-time feedback loops to optimize maintenance strategies.

F. Review of Machine Learning (ML) in Proactive Maintenance

Machine Learning (ML) is revolutionizing proactive maintenance by enabling data-driven strategies that predict asset failures, optimize maintenance schedules, and detect anomalies, thereby improving decision-making, reducing downtime, and enhancing operational efficiency. By analyzing both real-time and historical sensor data, ML can identify patterns that predict failures early, allowing for timely interventions. Key ML applications include fault detection, predictive modeling, and anomaly detection, which assist in forecasting failures, estimating Remaining Useful Life (RUL), and addressing potential issues before they escalate. Furthermore, ML optimizes maintenance workflows by prioritizing tasks, improving efficiency, and reducing maintenance costs. To implement ML in proactive maintenance, the process begins with defining clear objectives, such as minimizing downtime and improving maintenance efficiency. Critical assets are selected for predictive maintenance, with IoT sensors capturing real-time data that is integrated with historical records to ensure consistency. Data preprocessing techniques are used to handle missing values, noise, and outliers. ML algorithms then establish relationships between asset conditions and performance, enabling the prediction of maintenance needs, estimation of RUL, and real-time anomaly detection, which triggers proactive actions. Additionally, ML optimizes maintenance schedules by balancing downtime reduction with resource utilization, prioritizing tasks based on the asset's criticality. Integration with CMMS and ERP systems streamlines operations, and continuous monitoring of model performance ensures improvements over time. Once the model proves successful, it can be scaled and integrated with technologies like Digital Twins and IoT, enhancing predictive capabilities and improving asset reliability, Alshboul et al., 2024, [5].

As illustrated in Table 6, ML has demonstrated a significant impact across various sectors. For example, Biradar et al. (2024), [51] applied ML to predict transformer faults in power distribution, which reduced downtime and maintenance costs. Haroon et al. (2024), [52] used ML to predict faults in distributed systems, enhancing reliability and reducing Mean Time to Recovery (MTTR). In the network maintenance sector, Khawar et al. (2024), [53] used AI/ML to predict network faults, improving system performance and reducing operational costs. In manufacturing, Wadibhasme et al. (2024), [54] achieved 96.3% predictive accuracy using Neural Networks to optimize maintenance. Qureshi et al. (2024), [55] explored how ML can enhance predictive capabilities for solar farm maintenance, overcoming challenges related to data quality and model interpretability. Arafat et al. (2024), [56] extended ML's application in microgrid maintenance, and Thakkar and Kumar (2024), [57] integrated ML with edge computing for real-time anomaly detection.

ML has also had a profound impact in industry-specific applications. Adityawarman et al. (2023), [10] utilized ML for risk-based inspection (RBI) in the oil and gas sector, improving maintenance decision-making. Vallim Filho et al. (2022), [58] proposed an ML framework for turbine maintenance in hydroelectric

Retrieval Number:100.1/ijese.B202605020225
DOI:10.35940/ijese.B2026.13040325
Journal Website: www.ijese.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

plants, achieving 98% prediction accuracy for failure events. In manufacturing, Kalusivalingam et al. (2020), [59] combined ML with IoT to achieve a 30% reduction in downtime and a 20% reduction in maintenance costs [60].

Despite its promising applications, ML faces key challenges, including data scarcity, model drift, and human-machine collaboration. To address data scarcity, techniques like synthetic data generation and transfer learning can be leveraged. Mitigating model drift will require adaptive approaches that can evolve with changing conditions. Additionally, improving human-machine collaboration will allow operators to work more effectively with predictive systems, enhancing decision-making. In conclusion, ML is reshaping proactive maintenance through advanced data analytics and optimization techniques. Future research should focus on overcoming challenges like data scarcity, model drift, and improving human-machine collaboration to unlock the full potential of ML in predictive maintenance, ultimately enhancing asset reliability and operational efficiency.

Table 6: Summary of the Review of Machine Learning in Proactive Maintenance

Aspect	Details
ML Applications & Case Studies	- Power distribution: ML for transformer fault prediction, reducing downtime and costs (Biradar et al., 2024, [51]). - Network maintenance: AI/ML models for fault prediction, enhancing reliability and reducing MTTR (Khawar et al., 2024, [53]). - Manufacturing: Neural Networks achieving 96.3% predictive accuracy (Wadibhasme et al., 2024, [54]). - Solar farm maintenance: ML for predictive maintenance despite data challenges (Qureshi et al., 2024, [55]).
ML Recent Innovations	- Edge computing: Integration with ML for real-time anomaly detection and predictive maintenance (Thakkar and Kumar, 2024, [57]). - Hydroelectric plants: ML framework for turbine failure prediction with 98% accuracy (Vallim Filho et al., 2022, [58]). - Microgrid maintenance: Expanding ML's use in energy systems (Arafat et al., 2024, [56]).
ML Challenges	- Data scarcity: Overcoming this with synthetic data generation and transfer learning. - Model drift: Developing methods to keep models accurate as systems evolve. - Human-machine collaboration: Enhancing decision-making with better integration between humans and predictive systems.
ML Research Gaps	- Need for adaptive ML models that evolve with system changes. - Challenges in improving the accuracy of predictive models in complex environments. - Research in scaling ML for legacy systems and industry-specific applications.
ML Future Directions	- Integration with Digital Twins and IoT: Expanding predictive capabilities for improved asset reliability and performance. - Continuous model monitoring: Ongoing tracking and tuning of model performance to ensure effectiveness. - Adaptive learning: Developing models that dynamically adjust based on real-time data and evolving conditions.

III. RESEARCH GAP ANALYSIS IN PROACTIVE MAINTENANCE STRATEGIES

Proactive maintenance plays a crucial role in modern industries, using predictive and data-driven approaches to enhance asset reliability, minimize downtime, and optimize performance. Advanced strategies such as Risk-Based Inspection (RBI), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), Lean Six Sigma (LSS), Digital Twins (DT), and Machine Learning (ML) have shown considerable promise in improving maintenance practices. However, challenges remain, particularly in integrating real-time data, adapting to dynamic conditions, and maximizing emerging technologies like IoT, AI, and advanced analytics. As shown in Table 7, this analysis highlights the limitations of each approach and proposes directions for future research to enhance their integration and effectiveness across industries.

A. Risk-Based Inspection (RBI)

Objective: Prioritize inspections based on risk.
Current State: RBI prioritizes inspections based on risk but lacks integration with real-time data, limiting its adaptability to changing conditions.
Research Gaps:

- Cross-industry applicability of RBI models.
- Integration of dynamic operational and environmental data.
- Development of decision-support tools for non-expert stakeholders.

Proposed Research:

- Develop adaptable RBI models for different industries.
- Integrate real-time data to enhance dynamic risk assessments.
- Create decision-support tools to improve risk communication.

B. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM)

Objective: Identify and address failure modes to improve reliability.
Current State: RCM identifies failure modes but is dependent on fixed schedules, limiting its real-time adaptability.
Research Gaps:

- Real-time failure mode assessment techniques.
- Human factors in decision-making processes.
- Integration with continuous asset health monitoring systems.

Proposed Research:

- Develop dynamic failure mode assessment models.
- Investigate the role of human decision-making in RCM.
- Integrate continuous monitoring and predictive analytics to enable proactive maintenance.

C. Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)

Objective: Maximize asset effectiveness by minimizing downtime.
Current State: TPM focuses on minimizing downtime but lacks integration with real-time data, limiting its ability to optimize maintenance schedules.
Research Gaps:

- Real-time TPM metrics for

- optimized maintenance planning.
- Integration with supply chain management for improved scheduling.
- AI tools to enhance operator decision-making.

Proposed Research:

- Develop real-time TPM metrics for improved planning.
- Explore the integration of TPM with supply chain management.
- Investigate AI-driven decision support for proactive maintenance.

D. Lean Six Sigma (LSS) in Proactive Maintenance

Objective: Optimize processes to improve maintenance efficiency and reduce variability.
Current State: LSS optimizes processes but is underutilized in predictive maintenance and lacks integration with real-time data.
Research Gaps:

- Dynamic LSS models that adapt to real-time changes.
- Integration of AI/ML for continuous process optimization.
- Application of LSS across multi-asset systems.

Proposed Research:

- Develop dynamic LSS models responsive to operational changes.
- Integrate AI/ML into LSS for continuous optimization.
- Explore LSS applications in multi-asset systems to enhance maintenance performance.

E. Digital Twins (DT) in Proactive Maintenance

Objective: Use virtual representations of physical assets to predict maintenance needs and optimize performance.
Current State: Digital Twins provide virtual models of assets but face challenges related to scalability and predictive maintenance.
Research Gaps:

- Integration with AR/VR for enhanced user interaction.
- Economic feasibility and scalability for legacy systems.
- Real-time feedback mechanisms to optimize maintenance decisions.

Proposed Research:

- Explore integration of AR/VR with Digital Twins for improved asset management.
- Assess the scalability and economic feasibility of Digital Twins across industries.
- Develop real-time feedback systems to enhance maintenance decisions.

F. Machine Learning (ML) in Proactive Maintenance

Objective: Enhance predictive maintenance by analyzing large datasets for pattern recognition and anomaly detection.
Current State: ML improves predictive maintenance by analyzing large datasets but struggles with data scarcity and adaptability to evolving conditions.
Research Gaps:

- Address data scarcity and improve model reliability.
- Mitigate model drift through continuous learning.
- Enhance human-machine collaboration in predictive maintenance systems.

Proposed Research:

- Explore data augmentation techniques to address data scarcity.
- Investigate methods to combat model drift and improve prediction accuracy.
- Develop frameworks for better human-machine collaboration in maintenance.

In conclusion, while significant progress has been made in proactive maintenance strategies, several research gaps remain, particularly in the integration of emerging technologies like IoT, AI, and machine learning. Addressing these gaps will lead to more adaptive, efficient, and resilient maintenance practices, improving asset performance, reducing downtime, and enhancing operational efficiency. Ongoing research in these areas will unlock the full potential of advanced maintenance strategies, driving better decision-making and optimized maintenance outcomes.

Table 7: Summary of the Research Gap Analysis in Proactive Maintenance Strategies

Aspect	Objective	Current State	Research Gaps	Proposed Research
RBI	Prioritize inspections based on risk assessments.	RBI uses risk assessments but lacks real-time data integration, limiting adaptability.	Cross-industry applicability, real-time data, and communication tools for non-experts.	Develop adaptable models, integrate real-time data, and create decision support tools for improved risk communication.
RCM	Identify failure modes and optimize maintenance strategies.	RCM identifies failure modes but depends on fixed schedules, limiting adaptability in real time.	Real-time failure assessments, human factors, and integration with continuous monitoring.	Develop dynamic failure mode assessments, explore human factors, and integrate continuous monitoring and predictive analytics.
TPM	Minimize downtime and optimize maintenance efficiency.	TPM reduces downtime but lacks real-time data, limiting schedule optimization.	Real-time metrics, integration with supply chain, and AI-driven decision support.	Develop real-time metrics, integrate with supply chain, and explore AI tools for decision support in maintenance.
LSS	Optimize processes and improve maintenance performance.	LSS optimizes processes but is underutilized in predictive maintenance and lacks real-time data integration.	Real-time adaptation, AI/ML integration, and multi-asset system applications.	Develop dynamic LSS models, integrate AI/ML for continuous optimization, and explore LSS in multi-asset systems.
Digital Twins	Improve asset management and predictive maintenance using virtual representations.	Digital Twins offer virtual asset models but face challenges in predictive maintenance and scalability.	AR/VR integration, scalability for legacy systems, and real-time feedback loops.	Explore AR/VR integration, evaluate scalability for legacy systems, and develop real-time feedback

Retrieval Number:100.1/ijese.B202605020225
DOI:10.35940/ijese.B2026.13040325
Journal Website: www.ijese.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

				mechanisms for continuous optimization.
ML	Predict asset failures and optimize maintenance schedules using data analysis.	ML enhances predictive maintenance but faces challenges like data scarcity, evolving conditions, and model drift.	Data scarcity, model drift, and improved human-machine collaboration.	Develop data augmentation methods, strategies to combat model drift, and enhance human-machine collaboration in maintenance.

In summary, Table 8 provides an overview of the six advanced maintenance techniques commonly used to enhance asset management and optimize operational performance. It explains each technique's core focus and how they integrate to improve overall maintenance practices:

1. Technique: The specific maintenance strategy or methodology being discussed (e.g., Risk-Based Inspection, Reliability-Centered Maintenance, etc.).
2. Description: A brief explanation of the technique's purpose, highlighting its main objective—whether it's risk management, failure analysis, or process optimization.
3. Focus: The key area or aspect of maintenance that each technique targets. For example, RBI focuses on asset prioritization through risk management, while ML targets predictive analytics to forecast failures.
4. Integration: This column describes how each technique integrates with others to improve maintenance outcomes. For instance, RBI and RCM work together to prioritize failure modes and inspections, while TPM integrates with LSS to enhance operational efficiency through continuous process improvement.

In essence, the table illustrates how these advanced techniques can be combined to optimize asset reliability, reduce downtime, and ensure efficient maintenance practices across industries.

Table 8: Overview of Maintenance Techniques: Focus, Integration, and Synergies

Technique	Description	Focus	Integration
RBI	Focuses on risk-based inspections for asset management.	Risk management, asset prioritization.	Works with RCM to prioritize failure modes and inspections.
RCM	Identifies failure modes and optimal maintenance strategies.	Reliability, failure analysis.	Complements RBI by supporting risk-based maintenance strategies.
TPM	Aims to maximize equipment efficiency and uptime.	Efficiency, uptime maximization.	Integrates with LSS to enhance continuous process improvement.
LSS	Optimizes processes by eliminating waste.	Process improvement, waste reduction.	Works with TPM to optimize operational efficiency.
DT	Virtual models for real-time monitoring and prediction.	Real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance.	Integrates with LSS and ML for data-driven insights and predictions.
ML	Predicts failures and optimizes schedules through data.	Predictive analytics, anomaly detection.	Enhances DT and RCM with data-driven decision-making.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research introduces and validates an integrated Asset Integrity Management (AIM) framework, merging advanced technologies with traditional maintenance practices to enhance asset performance, safety, and sustainability. The framework aims to assess its effectiveness and offer actionable insights for industries aiming to improve asset integrity through proactive maintenance.

The proposed framework combines advanced methodologies such as Machine Learning (ML), Digital Twin (DT), Risk-Based Inspection (RBI), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), and Lean Six Sigma (LSS) to optimize asset performance, extend asset lifecycles, and minimize downtime. By addressing gaps in conventional maintenance practices, the approach enhances operational efficiency, asset reliability, and safety. As outlined in Table 9, the proposed framework comprises eight key steps:

A. Machine Learning (ML) for Predictive Asset Health Management

Machine Learning (ML) plays a pivotal role in predictive maintenance by analyzing vast amounts of data from IoT sensors and operational logs. By learning from historical and real-time data, ML models can identify patterns in equipment behavior and predict potential failures. This allows maintenance teams to perform proactive maintenance, reducing unplanned downtime and optimizing asset lifecycles. Through continuous adaptation, ML ensures that maintenance strategies are data-driven and responsive to changing conditions.

B. Digital Twin (DT) for Real-Time Monitoring and Performance Simulation

Digital Twin technology creates virtual replicas of physical assets, enabling continuous monitoring and simulation of asset performance. By linking IoT sensors with data models, Digital Twins provide real-time insights into asset health and potential failure points. This allows maintenance teams to remotely monitor assets, simulate different maintenance scenarios, and optimize performance. The technology empowers informed decision-making and ensures that interventions are both timely and effective, enhancing overall asset management.

C. Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) for Strategic Maintenance Prioritization

Risk-Based Inspection (RBI) focuses on prioritizing maintenance based on dynamic risk assessments. Traditional RBI models can become outdated quickly, but by integrating real-time data from IoT sensors and Digital Twins, the framework ensures that risk assessments are continuously updated. This results in more accurate maintenance prioritization, directing resources to high-risk assets

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

and improving safety by preventing failures. The approach minimizes unnecessary downtime and optimizes resource allocation.

D. Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) for Proactive Failure Mitigation

Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) identifies failure modes and prioritizes maintenance actions to prevent unplanned equipment failures. By integrating IoT sensors and predictive analytics, RCM transitions from a fixed schedule model to a dynamic, data-driven approach. This shift allows real-time monitoring of asset health, enabling teams to mitigate failure risks before they affect operations. By focusing on proactive failure management, RCM reduces downtime, enhances reliability, and extends asset life.

E. Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) for Maximizing Asset Availability

Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) emphasizes maximizing asset uptime by engaging operators in proactive maintenance. The integration of Digital Twins and IoT sensors enhances TPM by providing operators with real-time performance data, empowering them to make informed decisions. Predictive analytics help detect potential issues early, allowing for quick, targeted interventions. With continuous monitoring and predictive insights, TPM becomes more responsive, reducing downtime and increasing asset availability.

F. Lean Six Sigma (LSS) for Continuous Improvement and Process Optimization

Lean Six Sigma (LSS) focuses on optimizing processes and eliminating inefficiencies. By combining LSS with real-time data from IoT, ML, and Digital Twin technologies, maintenance activities can be continuously monitored and optimized. This integration enables organizations to identify inefficiencies, reduce waste, and optimize resource utilization, driving down operational costs. The framework promotes a culture of continuous improvement, aligning maintenance processes with organizational goals and enhancing long-term performance.

G. Bridging Gaps for Enhanced Operational Efficiency and Reliability

The integrated framework addresses critical gaps in traditional maintenance practices, such as reliance on static schedules and the lack of real-time adaptability. By combining advanced technologies with traditional methodologies, the framework enables real-time responses to changing maintenance needs. This holistic approach boosts asset reliability, reduces downtime, and strengthens safety protocols. Continuous monitoring and predictive capabilities allow for early issue detection, minimizing operational disruptions and enhancing overall system efficiency.

H. Continuous Improvement for Sustaining Long-Term Maintenance Excellence

The final step underscores the importance of continuous improvement in maintaining long-term asset integrity. The framework fosters ongoing feedback loops and process refinement, ensuring that maintenance practices adapt to emerging technologies and evolving operational conditions. Real-time monitoring, data-driven insights, and predictive analytics guarantee that the maintenance strategy remains aligned with organizational objectives, resulting in sustained improvements in asset performance, safety, and reliability over time.

In conclusion, this research proposes a comprehensive, data-driven approach to maintenance that integrates Machine Learning, Digital Twin technology, Risk-Based Inspection, Reliability-Centered Maintenance, Total Productive Maintenance, and Lean Six Sigma. The framework addresses the limitations of traditional maintenance strategies and offers a holistic solution to optimize asset performance, enhance reliability, and minimize downtime. By fostering a resilient, adaptive maintenance strategy, the framework improves operational efficiency, safety, and asset longevity across industries.

Table 9: Outline of the Proposed Framework

Step	Description
1) ML for Predictive Asset Health Management	Analyzes data for predictive maintenance, reducing downtime and extending asset life.
2) DT for Real-Time Monitoring and Performance Simulation	Virtual replicas for real-time monitoring and performance optimization.
3) RBI for Strategic Maintenance Prioritization	Prioritizes maintenance based on dynamic risk assessments.
4) RCM for Proactive Failure Mitigation	Proactively manages failure modes using predictive insights.
5) TPM for Maximizing Asset Availability	Maximizes asset uptime by involving operators in proactive maintenance.
6) LSS for Continuous Improvement and Process Optimization	Streamlines maintenance processes by eliminating inefficiencies.
7) Bridging Gaps for Enhanced Operational Efficiency and Reliability	Integrates advanced technologies to enhance traditional maintenance practices.
8) Continuous Improvement for Sustaining Long-Term Maintenance Excellence	Ensures sustained excellence through ongoing process optimization.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study emphasizes the pivotal role of proactive maintenance in enhancing Asset Integrity Management (AIM). By combining advanced technologies with traditional maintenance methods, the proposed framework presents a comprehensive, data-driven approach to optimizing asset performance. The integration of Machine Learning (ML), Digital Twins (DT), Risk-Based Inspection (RBI), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), and Lean Six Sigma (LSS) provides a robust foundation for proactive asset management, improving decision-making, reducing downtime, extending asset lifecycles, and enhancing safety, compliance, and operational efficiency.

While each methodology offers unique benefits, their full potential is often underutilized in current asset management practices. By integrating these technologies, organizations can enable data-driven

Retrieval Number:100.1/ijese.B202605020225
DOI:10.35940/ijese.B2026.13040325
Journal Website: www.ijese.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

decisions, optimize processes, and drive continuous improvement. However, challenges such as high initial costs, specialized infrastructure requirements, and industry-specific customization must be addressed. Additionally, research gaps persist in aligning emerging technologies with traditional practices, scaling the framework across industries, and refining predictive maintenance models. Overcoming these barriers is crucial for realizing the full potential of proactive maintenance and ensuring its broader adoption.

The literature review reveals critical gaps in traditional AIM practices, particularly the limited adoption of emerging technologies. The proposed framework bridges these gaps, offering improvements in asset performance, safety, and sustainability. This research underscores the transformative potential of combining advanced technologies with established AIM methodologies. It provides a strategic roadmap for industries seeking to improve asset integrity, achieve operational excellence, and ensure long-term sustainability. To the author's knowledge, this study is the first to integrate these six methodologies into a cohesive framework, offering actionable insights for advanced maintenance strategies in complex industrial environments.

Future research should focus on leveraging AI and IoT for real-time, data-driven decision-making. Enhancing predictive maintenance with deep learning models and incorporating edge computing will further optimize operational efficiency. Customizing the framework for specific industries, supported by detailed cost-benefit analyses, will increase its practical relevance. Additionally, future studies should explore AIM's potential to enhance safety, compliance, and sustainability, while promoting continuous improvement through real-time feedback and ensuring the long-term resilience of maintenance strategies.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- **Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests:** Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- **Funding Support:** This article has not been funded by any organizations or agencies. This independence ensures that the research is conducted with objectivity and without any external influence.
- **Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate:** The content of this article does not necessitate ethical approval or consent to participate with supporting documentation.
- **Data Access Statement and Material Availability:** The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- **Authors Contributions:** The authorship of this article is contributed solely.

REFERENCES

1. Gomaa, A.H., 2022. Enhancing maintenance management of critical equipment using digital twin. Comprehensive Research and Reviews in Engineering and Technology,(CRRET), 1(1), pp.45-55. DOI: https://doi.org/10.57219/crret.2022.1.1.0025
2. Gomaa, A.H., 2023. Maintenance process improvement framework using lean six sigma: A case study. International Journal of Business & Administrative Studies, 9(1), pp. 1-25. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.20469/ijbas.9.10001-1
3. Gomaa, A.H., 2024. Improving Shutdown Maintenance Management Performance Using Lean Six Sigma Approach: A Case Study. International Journal of Applied and Physical Sciences, IJAPS, 10(1), pp.1-14. https://kkgpublications.com/applied-sciences-v10-article1/
4. Gomaa, A.H., 2024. Enhancing proactive maintenance of critical equipment by integrating digital twins and lean six sigma approaches. International Journal of Modern Studies in Mechanical Engineering (IJMSME) Volume 10, Issue 1, 2024, PP 20-35. https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijmsme/v10-i1/3.pdf
5. Alshboul, O., Al Mamlook, R.E., Shehadeh, A. and Munir, T., 2024. Empirical exploration of predictive maintenance in concrete manufacturing: Harnessing machine learning for enhanced equipment reliability in construction project management. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 190, p.110046. DOI: DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.110046
6. Melo, C., Dann, M.R., Hugo, R.J. and Janeta, A., 2019. A framework for risk-based integrity assessment of unpiggable pipelines subject to internal corrosion. Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology, 141(2), p.021702. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4042350
7. Javid, Y., 2025. Efficient risk-based inspection framework: Balancing safety and budgetary constraints. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 253, p.110519. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2024.110519
8. Almeida de Rezende, F., Videiro, P.M., Volnei Sudati Sagrilo, L., de Oliveira, M.C. and dos Santos, G.Y.M., 2024, June. A tool for reliability-based inspection planning of mooring chains. In International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (Vol. 87790, p. V002T02A036). American Society of Mechanical Engineers. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1115/OMAE2024-123451
9. Huang, Y., Qin, G. and Yang, M., 2023. A risk-based approach to inspection planning for pipelines considering the coupling effect of corrosion and dents. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 180, pp. 588-600. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2023.10.025
10. Aditiyawarman, T., Kaban, A.P.S. and Soedarsono, J.W., 2023. A recent review of risk-based inspection development to support service excellence in the oil and gas industry: an artificial intelligence perspective. ASCE-ASME Journal of Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Systems, Part B: Mechanical Engineering, 9(1), p.010801. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4054558
11. Zhang, W.H., Qin, J., Lu, D.G., Liu, M. and Faber, M.H., 2023. Quantification of the value of condition monitoring system with time-varying monitoring performance in the context of risk-based inspection. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 231, p.108993. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2022.108993
12. Eskandarzade, M., Shahrivar, R., Ratnayake, R.C. and Bukhari, U.N., 2022. An optimal approach for semiquantitative risk-based inspection of pipelines. Journal of Pipeline Systems Engineering and Practice, 13(3), p.04022017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)PS.1949-1204.0000653
13. Sözen, L., Yurdakul, M. and Ic, Y.T., 2022. Risk-based inspection planning for internal surface defected oil pipelines exposed to fatigue. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping, 200, p.104804. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpvp.2022.104804
14. Hameed, H., Bai, Y. and Ali, L., 2021. A risk-based inspection planning methodology for integrity management of subsea oil and gas pipelines. Ships and offshore structures, 16(7), pp.687-699. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17445302.2020.1747751
15. Agistina, A., Budiasih, E. and Pramoso, A., 2021, February. Determination of risk Level, remaining life prediction, and risk based inspection planning based on API 581. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 1034, No. 1, p. 012116). IOP Publishing. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1034/1/012116
16. Abubakirov, R., Yang, M. and Khakzad, N., 2020. A risk-based approach to determination of optimal inspection intervals for buried oil pipelines. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 134, pp.95-107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.11.031
17. Rachman, A. and Ratnayake, R.C., 2018, June. Artificial neural network model for risk-based inspection screening assessment of oil and gas production system. In ISOPE International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference (pp. ISOPE-I). ISOPE. https://onepetro.org/ISOPEIOPEC/proceedings-abstract/ISOPE18/All-ISOPE18/20562
18. Arzaghi, E., Abaei, M.M., Abbassi, R., Garaniya, V., Chin, C. and Khan, F., 2017. Risk-based maintenance planning of subsea pipelines through fatigue crack growth monitoring. Engineering Failure

Analysis, 79, pp.928-939. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2017.06.003

19. Kamsu-Foguem, B., 2016. Information structuring and risk-based inspection for the marine oil pipelines. Applied Ocean Research, 56, pp.132-142. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apor.2016.01.009
20. Febriyana, P., Haryadi, G.D., Widodo, A., Tauviqirrahman, M., Suprihanto, A., Adigama, A.S., Susilo, D.D. and Kim, S.J., 2019, April. Evaluation for detecting and monitoring of offshore pipeline damage based on risk based inspection method. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2097, No. 1). AIP Publishing. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5098261
21. Resende, B.A.D., Dedini, F.G., Eckert, J.J., Sigahi, T.F., Pinto, J.D.S. and Anholon, R., 2024. Proposal of a facilitating methodology for fuzzy FMEA implementation with application in process risk analysis in the aeronautical sector. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 41(4), pp.1063-1088. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-07-2023-0237
22. Liu, G., Liu, L., Li, Q., Wang, Q. and Zhang, H., 2024, August. Reliability-Centered Maintenance Scheduling Optimization for High-Speed Railway Facilities with Multi-level Tasks. In International Conference on Traffic and Transportation Studies (pp. 51-60). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
23. Ali Ahmed Qaid, A., Ahmad, R., Mustafa, S.A. and Mohammed, B.A., 2024. A systematic reliability-centred maintenance framework with fuzzy computational integration–A case study of manufacturing process machinery. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 30(2), pp.456-492. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/JQME-04-2022-0021
24. Asghari, A. and Jafari, S.M., 2024. Investigating the Influence of reliability centered maintenance on water treatment plant pumps (Case study: Guilan water treatment plant). Journal of Water and Wastewater; Ab va Fazilab (in persian), 35(1), pp.86-102. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22093/wwj.2024.444001.3400
25. Cahyati, S., Puspa, S.D., Himawan, R., Agtirey, N.R. and Leo, J.A., 2024. Optimization of preventive maintenance on critical machines at the Sabiz 1 plant using reliability-centered maintenance method. Sinergi (Indonesia), 28(2), pp.355-368. DOI: http://doi.org/10.22441/sinergi.2024.2.015
26. Sembiring, A.C., 2024. Analysis of boiler machine maintenance using the reliability-centered maintenance method. JKIE (Journal Knowledge Industrial Engineering), 11(1), pp.1-8. https://jurnal.yudharta.ac.id/v2/index.php/jkie/article/view/5004
27. Al-Farsi, N. and Syafiie, S., 2023, April. Reliability centered maintenance application for the development of maintenance strategy for a chemical plant. In International Conference on Mathematical and Statistical Physics, Computational Science, Education, and Communication (ICMSCE 2022) (Vol. 12616, pp. 211-214). SPIE. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2675549
28. Introna, V. and Santolamazza, A., 2024. Strategic maintenance planning in the digital era: A hybrid approach merging Reliability-Centered Maintenance with digitalization opportunities. Operations Management Research, pp.1-24. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12063-024-00496-y
29. Jiang, Q., Li, X., Yang, L., Ma, Y. and Li, H., 2024. Innovation and application of reliability-centered maintenance technology for pumped storage power plant. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 2694, No. 1, p. 012014). IOP Publishing. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2694/1/012014
30. Khosroniya, M., Hosnavi, R. and Zahedi, M.R., 2024. Enhancing operational performance in industry 4.0: The mediating role of total quality management and total productive maintenance at Zarharan industrial complex. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 6(1), pp.96-122. https://civilica.com/doc/2043452/
31. Amrina, E. and Firda, S., 2024, February. Evaluation model of total productive maintenance implementation for cement plant. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2710, No. 1). AIP Publishing. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0144566
32. Biswas, J., 2024. Total productive maintenance: an in-depth review with a focus on overall equipment effectiveness measurement. International Journal of Research in Industrial Engineering, 13(4), pp.376-383. DOI: http://doi.org/10.22105/riej.2024.453380.1436
33. Jurewicz, D., Dąbrowska, M., Burduk, A., Medyński, D., Machado, J., Motyka, P. and Kolbusz, K., 2023, September. Implementation of total productive maintenance (tpm) to improve overall equipment effectiveness (oee)-case study. In International Conference on Intelligent Systems in Production Engineering and Maintenance (pp. 543-561). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-44282-7_42
34. Harsanto, B. and Yunani, A., 2023. Electric power distribution maintenance model for industrial customers: Total productive maintenance (TPM), reliability-centered maintenance (RCM), and four-discipline execution (4DX) approach. Energy Reports, 10, pp.3186-3196. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2023.09.129
35. Shannon, N., Trubetskaya, A., Iqbal, J. and McDermott, O., 2023. A total productive maintenance & reliability framework for an active pharmaceutical ingredient plant utilising design for Lean Six Sigma. Heliyon, 9(10). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20516
36. Pinto, G., Silva, F.J.G., Baptista, A., Fernandes, N.O., Casais, R. and Carvalho, C., 2020. TPM implementation and maintenance strategic plan–a case study. Procedia Manufacturing, 51, pp.1423-1430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.10.198
37. Kose, Y., Muftuoglu, S., Cevikcan, E. and Durmusoglu, M.B., 2022. Axiomatic design for lean autonomous maintenance system: an application from textile industry. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma, 14(3), pp.555-587. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-01-2022-0020
38. Al Farihi, A.H., Sumartini, S. and Herdiman, L., 2023. Designing lean maintenance using total productive maintenance method–a case study at wiring harness production. In E3s web of conferences (Vol. 465, p. 02016). EDP Sciences. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202346502016
39. Trubetskaya, A., Ryan, A., Powell, D.J. and Moore, C., 2023. Utilising a hybrid DMAIC/TAM model to optimise annual maintenance shutdown performance in the dairy industry: a case study. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma, 15(8), pp.70-92. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-05-2023-0083
40. Arsakulasooriya, K.K., Sridarran, P. and Sivanuja, T., 2024. Applicability of lean maintenance in commercial high-rise buildings: A case study in Sri Lanka. Facilities, 42(3/4), pp.342-357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/F-10-2022-0131
41. Torre, N.M.D.M. and Bonamigo, A., 2024. Action research of lean 4.0 application to the maintenance of hydraulic systems in steel industry. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 30(2), pp.341-366. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/JQME-06-2023-0058
42. Singha Mahapatra, M. and Shenoy, D., 2022. Lean maintenance index: a measure of leanness in maintenance organizations. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 28(4), pp.791-809. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/JQME-08-2020-0083
43. Karunakaran, S., 2016. Innovative application of LSS in aircraft maintenance environment. International journal of lean six sigma, 7(1), pp.85-108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-01-2015-0001
44. Xue, R., Zhang, P., Huang, Z. and Wang, J., 2024. Digital twin-driven fault diagnosis for CNC machine tool. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 131(11), pp. 5457-5470. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-022-09978-4
45. Liu, Z., Lang, Z.Q., Gui, Y., Zhu, Y.P. and Laalej, H., 2024. Digital twin-based anomaly detection for real-time tool condition monitoring in machining. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 75, pp.163-173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.06.004
46. Karkaria, V., Chen, J., Luey, C., Siuta, C., Lim, D., Radulescu, R. and Chen, W., 2024, August. A Digital Twin Framework Utilizing Machine Learning for Robust Predictive Maintenance: Enhancing Tire Health Monitoring. In International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference (Vol. 88346, p. V02AT02A023). American Society of Mechanical Engineers. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1115/DETC2024-140496
47. Wang, G., Wei, H.L. and Liu, Z.H., 2024. An intelligent maintenance arrangement for wind turbines based on digital twin. Academia Engineering, 1(4). pp. 1-16. https://www.academia.edu/2994-7065/1/4/10.20935/AcadEng7391
48. Attaran, S., Attaran, M. and Celik, B.G., 2024. Digital Twins and Industrial Internet of Things: Uncovering operational intelligence in industry 4.0. Decision Analytics Journal, 10, p.100398. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2024.100398
49. Minghui, H. U., Ya, H. E., Xinzhi, L. I. N., Ziyuan, L. U., Jiang, Z. and Bo, M. A., 2023. Digital twin model of gas turbine and its application in warning of performance fault. Chinese Journal of Aeronautics, 36(3), pp. 449-470. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cja.2022.07.021
50. You, Y., Chen, C., Hu, F., Liu, Y. and Ji, Z., 2022. Advances of digital twins for predictive maintenance. Procedia computer science, 200, pp. 1471-1480. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.01.348
51. Biradar, V., Kakeri, D. and Agasti, A., 2024, August. Machine Learning based Predictive Maintenance in Distribution Transformers. In 2024 8th International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCUBEA) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1109/ICCUBEA61740.2024.10774993

52. Haroon, M., Siddiqui, Z.A., Husain, M., Ali, A. and Ahmad, T., 2024. A proactive approach to fault tolerance using predictive machine learning models in distributed systems. Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev, 44, pp.208-220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2024.v44spl.018
53. Khawar, M.W., Khan, H., Salman, W., Shaheen, S., Shakil, A., Iftikhar, F. and Faisal, K.M.I., 2024. Investigating the Most Effective AI/ML-Based Strategies for Predictive Network Maintenance to Minimize Downtime and Enhance Service Reliability. Spectrum of engineering sciences, 2(4), pp.115-132. https://www.sesjournal.com/index.php/1/article/view/66
54. Wadibhasme, R.N., Naresh, M., Vikram, G., VV, A.S., Suresh, P. and Jermina, F., 2024, August. Utilizing Machine Learning Techniques for Enhanced Predictive Maintenance in the Manufacturing Sector. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Networking, Embedded and Wireless Systems (ICNEWS) (pp. 1-6). IEEE. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1109/ICNEWS60873.2024.10730983
55. Qureshi, M.S., Umar, S. and Nawaz, M.U., 2024. Machine Learning for Predictive Maintenance in Solar Farms. International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations, 1(3), pp.27-49. https://ijaeti.com/index.php/Journal/article/view/228
56. Arafat, M.Y., Hossain, M.J. and Alam, M.M., 2024. Machine learning scopes on microgrid predictive maintenance: Potential frameworks, challenges, and prospects. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 190, p.114088. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.114088
57. Thakkar, D. and Kumar, R., 2024. AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance for Industrial Assets using Edge Computing and Machine Learning. Journal for Research in Applied Sciences and Biotechnology, 3(1), pp.363-367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55544/jrasb.3.1.55
58. Vallim Filho, A.R.D.A., Farina Moraes, D., Bhering de Aguiar Vallim, M.V., Santos da Silva, L. and da Silva, L.A., 2022. A machine learning modeling framework for predictive maintenance based on equipment load cycle: An application in a real world case. Energies, 15(10), p.3724. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en15103724
59. Kalusivalingam, A.K., Sharma, A., Patel, N. and Singh, V., 2020. Enhancing Predictive Maintenance in Manufacturing Using Machine Learning Algorithms and IoT-Driven Data Analytics. International Journal of AI and ML, 1(3). https://cognitivecomputingjournal.com/index.php/IJAIML-V1/article/view/50
60. Chopra, V., & Priyadarshi, D. (2019). Role of Machine Learning in Manufacturing Sector. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 4, pp. 2320–2328). DOI: https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.d8191.118419

AUTHOR'S PROFILE

Prof. Dr. Attia H. Gomaa is an esteemed academic and consultant in Industrial Engineering and Quality Management, currently serving at Shoubra Faculty of Engineering, Banha University, Egypt, and ESS Engineering Services at the American University in Cairo. With over 70 published research papers, his expertise spans Lean Six Sigma, supply chain management, maintenance optimization, and quality management systems. **Prof. Gomaa** has made significant contributions to numerous industrial companies, driving proactive maintenance strategies, enhancing asset integrity, and integrating digital technologies into manufacturing processes. His work has impacted over 20,000 engineers, and his ongoing research continues to influence the fields of industrial optimization, manufacturing and maintenance excellence, continuous process improvement, Lean Six Sigma applications, and supply chain management.