Study of Flexural and Torsional Behaviour of Beams Made with Bacterial Concrete

Syed Saifali, P Jaganatthan, K S Satyanarayanan

Abstract: The main concept of replacing cement with fly ash can accomplish as sustainable development, in construction industry, concrete is a most broadly utilized development material and cement is the only manufactured material and other materials like fine aggregate and coarse aggregate and water are natural resources. As per reports its states that by manufacturing a ton of cement 800 to 900 kg of co_2 is emitted in to the atmosphere which results in to the global warming. In this project we are utilizing the fly ash as a byproduct, presently large amounts of fly ash are generated in thermal industries which international journal of science and research h are dumped as waste and it will be adverse impact on environment and humans. And in this project. it is decided to use bacteria and to enhance its properties of hardened concrete. For this bacillus subtilis has been chosen based on previous work done. The design mix is to made of M40 grade concrete. The ingredients for concrete are tested. It is proposed that incomplete substitution of cement by fly ash enriched by bacteria and to test the flexural and torsional strength of concrete at different ages.

Keywords: Flexural, Torsional, S1CC, S1CCB, S1CF, S1CFB, Bacillus subtilis.

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Concrete is generally utilized material in the world, in excess of ten billion tons of cement are devoured every year, In view of worldwide use it is set second position after water concrete in a structural matter fundamentally made out of water, FA and CA inserted in a solidify lattice of material called bond, which tops off the voids among totals and follow them firmly⁴.

The most important material in concrete is cement, The manufacture of cement leads to produce huge amount of carbon dioxide in to atmosphere. The assembling of concrete causes different ecological and social outcomes relying upon contemplations which are both harmful and are invited⁵. Different endeavours have been made to decrease the carbon dioxide discharge identifying with cement from both modern and academicals divisions by substitution of traditional clinkers with mechanical bi items, for example fly ash. The utilization of modern squanders picking up significance as added substances, since they increase quality, decline thickness and above all reduction natural effects. Now a day's concrete is considered as a strong, solid and mostly used constructive material, the cracks in concrete can diminish the toughness of a structure, and finally it will prompts disappointment of structure³. These cracks happen in concrete because of stacking, warm effect, plastic shrinkage.

Revised Manuscript Received on May 09, 2019.

Syed Saifali, PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur (Tamil Nadu), India.

P Jaganatthan, Educational Consultant, Department of civil engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur (Tamil Nadu), India.

K S Satyanarayanan, Professor and Head, Department of Civil Engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur (Tamil Nadu), India

On the off chance the water droplets go in to the concrete because of absence of porousness then it can harm the steel support present in the concrete. When this problem occurs, the strength carrying capacity of concrete decreases and which leads to failure of structure. To prevent these problems bacteria was introduced in concrete. Bacterial concrete it is type of concrete which heals by itself so it is known self -healing concrete. Bacterial concrete is uncommonly made to expand the toughness of solid structure by oneself mending activity of that solid. Cracks which forms superficially layer of concrete were relieved because of calcite precious stones delivered by bacteria². Compressive strength of the concrete was increased by bacteria such as Bacillus Subtilis, Corrosion in reinforcement of reinforced concrete can be reduced by Bacillus Subtilis, hence, these materials are utilized to build the life expectancy of concrete structure without spending high measure of expense for fix and well being reason.

II. **EXPERIMENITAL**

A. Materials Used

Cement

Ordinary Portland cement - 53 grade have been utilized in the venture. The cement was tested according to IS 4301:1988. It conformed to IS 12269: 1987. The tests which were conducted are mentioned in Table No.1

Fable 1 Test	s conducted	on Cement
--------------	-------------	-----------

S No	Name of Test	Results
1	Specific Gravity of cement	3.10
2	Normal Consistency	31%
3	Initial setting time	126 minutes
4	Final setting time	260 minutes
5	Fineness	5%

Fine Aggregate

Clean and dry river sand were used as fine aggregate. The sand conforming to Zone II. Specific gravity and water absorption is 2.66 and 0.5% respectively.

Coarse Aggregate

The crushed stones were used as coarse aggregate. The aggregates passing through 20mm sieve and below as given in IS 383 - 1970 has been used. The test results obtained while testing the coarse aggregate has been tabulated below in Table 2.

Та	ble	2	Tests	conducted	on	coarse	aggregate
----	-----	---	-------	-----------	----	--------	-----------

		88 8
S No	Name of Test	Results
1	Impact Test	16.66%
2	Water Absorption Test	0.5
3	Specific Gravity Test	2.66
Publi Blue	IJESE	
& Sciences Publication		

Retrieval Number: C2247046319/19©BEIESP

Study of Flexural and Torsional Behaviour of Beams Made with Bacterial Concrete

Fly Ash

Fly ash is a by product from consuming pulverized coal in electric power producing plants. In our project Class F fly ash has been used. ASTM C 618 covers specifications for Class F fly ash. They are basically derived from the combustion of anthracite and bituminous coal. The specific gravity and fineness is 2.12 and 31% (45 micron) respectively.

Bacteria

Bacteria are microscopic living creature, generally onecelled, that can found all over, some are unsafe and some are useful. Bacterial concrete it is type of concrete which heals by itself so it is known self -healing concrete, the extra ordinary properties of this sort of concrete is it tops off the cracks created in structures by the assistance of bacterial response in the wake of solidifying. In our project special type of bacteria known as Bacillus Subtilis is used. The one of the advantage of this process is, as the oxygen is consumed by the bacteria to convert the calcium in to limestone, it helps prevent the corrosion of steel due to cracks. This improves the life of steel reinforced concrete construction.

III. PROCESS OF METHODOLOGY

Mix Proportion

In this project concrete mix design M40 was designed based on IS: 10262-1982, IS 456-2000. This code helps us a general applicable method for selecting mix proportion for high strength concrete and optimizing this mixture proportion on basis of trail batches. Mix design are given below in table 3. In these table the mix ratio is 1:1.23:2.36 and w/c is 0.40 is adopted.

Table 3 N	Mix pro	portion fo	r conventional	concrete
-----------	---------	------------	----------------	----------

Material	Quantity
Cement	450 Kg
Fine aggregate	648 Kg
Coarse aggregate	1148 Kg
Water	180 Litres

based on the mix design, specimens are cast as conventional concrete, fly ash concrete and bacterial concrete and tested. S1CC - Conventional concrete as per mix design

S1CF25 - CF indicates fly ash concrete made with partial replacement of cement with equal volume of fly ash and number indicates the percentage of cement replaced in fly ash concrete.

S1CFB25 – CFB indicates bacterial concrete made with partial replacement of cement with equal weight of fly ash enriched with bacteria Bacillus subtilis and the number indicates the percentage of cement replaced in the bacterial concrete.

Cube Specimens

Casting of cubes (100mm X 100mm X 100mm) specimens were made to cast as per mix design for conventional concrete and for S1CF and S1CFB with respective percentage of replacement of cement with equal volume of fly ash enriched with bacteria.

Flexural Beam Specimens

In this project tests were conducted to find the strength of bacterial concrete in structural members in flexure and torsion. RCC beams of size 1200mm X 150mm X 200mm were used to find the flexural strength. Top reinforcement is 2 numbers of 10mm dia steel rods and bottom 2 numbers of 12mm dia steel rods, 6mm dia stirrups are provided at 150mm center to center. Beams were cast with concrete proportions of S1CC, S1CF25, S1CF40, S1CF50, S1CF60, S1CFB25, S1CFB40, S1CFB50, S1CFB60.



Figure 1 Flexural Beam moulds



Figure 2 Reinforcement for Beam

Torsional Seam Specimens

In this project torsion RCC beam of size 1200mm X 150mm X 200mm with projecting arms on both ends with same cross section of 400mm were used to find torsional strength. Top reinforcement is 2 numbers of 10mm dia steel rods and bottom 2 numbers of 12mm dia steel rods, 6mm dia stirrups are provided at 150mm center to center. Beams were cast with concrete proportions of S1CC, S1CCB, S1CF25, S1CFB25.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compression Test

23

Conventional concrete specimens were made as per mix design and S1CF and S1CFB with respective percentage of replacement of cement with equal volume of fly ash and fly ash enriched with bacteria.





Figure 3 Compressive Strength Test

After 7 days, 14 days, 28 days the specimens are tested with the help of compression testing machine. We have tested three cubes and average has been taken as shown in below table 4.

-								
Dura tion		Average compressive strength (N/mm ²)						
	S1	S1C	S1C	S1C	S1C	S1CF	S1CF	S1CF
	CC	F25	F40	F50	CB	B25	B40	B50
7 days testin g	24	22	19.4	17.8	26.5	28.8	26.7	22.07
14 days testin g	37. 8	29	26	24	37.9	39.2	34.62	27.4
28 days testin g	43. 9	37	31	27.6	45.6	47.5	45.39	36.34

Table 4 Average Compressive Strength

From Table 4, we can observe that the compressive strength of fly ash concrete is less than conventional concrete. And the bacterial concrete S1CFB25 is greater than S1CC.



Figure 4 Compressive Strength Test

The compressive strength of S1CFB25 is 47.5 N/mm² and this is 8.2 % higher than S1CC. In direct compression by using fly enriched with bacteria cement can be replaced up to 40%.

Flexural Test

After 28 days the beams were taken for testing. Beams were placed in the loading frame as shown in figure 5. The clear span is 1000 mm and load is applied at the middle of the beam which distributes equally at two points to the beam at 165 mm from centre of each side.

To measure the loads proving ring with dial gauge was kept and to note down the deflection dial gauges has been provided as shown in figure 5.



Figure 5 RCC Flexural Beam Testing Arrangements

After 28 days curing beams were tested for flexure and ultimate loads are given in table 5.

Table 5 Flexure test results on RCC Bea

Mix	Ultimate	Mix	Ultimate
Proportion	Load (KN)	Proportion	Load (KN)
S1CC	152	S1CCB	164
S1CF25	148	S1CFB25	160
S1CF40	144	S1CFB40	148
S1CF50	136	S1CFB50	140
S1CF60	128	S1CFB60	132

The ultimate load for S1CC beam is 152 KN and ultimate load of fly ash beams is gradually decreasing as the percentage of replacement of cement with fly ash increases and for S1CF60 the ultimate load is 128 KN. In bacterial concrete the highest ultimate load is 164 KN for the bacterial concrete S1CCB.





Figure 6 Load vs Deflection on Experimental results

Load vs deflection curve of RCC flexure beam are drawn in figure 6. S1CCB is better option to S1CC to replace cement with enriched bacteria.

The ultimate load obtained from theoretical analysis and experimental results of beam made out with S1CC and S1CF25 and S1CFB25 are given in table 6.

Table 6 Theoretical Analysis of RCC Flexure Beam

	Theoretical	Ultimate Load	
Mix proportion	Ultimate Load	through	
	(KN)	experimental (KN)	
S1CC	77.48	152	
S1CF25	76.28	148	
S1CFB25	78.98	160	

The ultimate loads by experimental investigation is higher than the theoretical values in all three considered proportions. Experimental ultimate load of S1CC is 1.96 times of theoretical ultimate load, S1CF25 is 1.94 times and S1CFB25 is 2.05 times. This indicates that bacterial concrete gives higher strength than conventional concrete in flexural concrete.

Torsional Test

Torsional beam is a RCC beam of size 1200mm X 150mm X 200mm with projecting arms as seen in figure 7, on both sides with the projection of same cross section to a length of 400mm. loading arrangement for torsional beams is shown in figure 7.



Figure 7 RCC Torsional Beam Testing Arrangements

After 28 days curing beams were tested for torsional and ultimate loads are given in table 7.

Table 7 Torsi	onal test res	ults on R	CC Beam
---------------	---------------	-----------	---------

Mix Proportion	Ultimate Load (KN)
\$1CC	76
S1CF25	64
S1CCB	88
S1CFB25	80

The ultimate load for S1CC beam is 76 KN and for S1CF25 the ultimate load is 64 KN. In bacterial concrete the highest ultimate load is 88 KN for S1CCB.



Figure 8 Load vs Deflection on Experimental results

Load vs deflection curve of RCC torsion beam are drawn in figure 8. S1CCB is better option replace cement with enriched bacteria.

From above table and figure we came to know that bacterial concrete S1CCB is showing better load carrying capacity.

CONCLUSSION V.

- The compressive strength of S1CFB25 is 8.2 % higher than S1CC.
- In direct compression by using fly enriched with bacteria cement can be replaced up to 40%.
- The bacterial concrete made with Bacillus subtilis has obtained higher strength than normal fly ash concrete of respective percentage of replacement.
- The structural members made with S1CFB25 performed better than other proportions in flexural and torsional beams.
- Hence based on strength, durability and performance as a structural element S1CFB25 is suitable concrete to replace control concrete.
- The ultimate loads by experimental investigation is higher than the theoretical values in all three considered proportions.



Published By:

& Sciences Publication

- Experimental ultimate load of S1CC is 1.96 times of theoretical ultimate load, S1CF25 is 1.94 times and S1CFB25 is 2.05 times.
- By using fly ash reduction in emission of green house gas to atmosphere can be achieved.
- By using bacterial concrete natural resources like water, lime stone, clay can be minimised in beneficial way.

REFERENCE

- A. Manoj Prabahar*, R. Dhanya, N. Ganapathy Ramasamy, S. Dhanasekar, "An experimental study of self healing of cracks In concrete using sodium silicate capsule," Vol. 10 | No. 2 |577 583 | April - June | 2017 ISSN: 0974-1496 | e-ISSN: 0976-0083 | CODEN: RJCABP
- P Jagannathan, K S Satyanarayanan, , Kantha Devi Arunachalam, Sathesh Kumar Annamallai (2018), "Studies on the mechanical properties of bacterial concrete with two bacterial species," ELSEVIER Materials Today:Proceedings 5 (2018) 8875-8879. [Scopus/Impact Factor - 0.94/ SNIP - 0.837].
- P Jagannathan, K S Satyanarayanan, , Kantha Devi Arunachalam, Sathesh Kumar Annamallai, Akansha (2018), "Influence of Bacteria Bacillus sphaericus on water Absorption and Rapid Chloride Permeability Properties in Concrete," Issue 08 (Special issue), pp. 1113-1117. [Scopus/Impact Factor - 0.19/ SNIP - 0.135].
- V Sai Kumar, A Venkateswa Rao (2018), "experimental investigation on Flexural behaviour of fly ash Concrete by replacing sand with msand," Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2018, pp. 348–354. Article ID: IJCIET_09_06_040.
- R. Sri Bhavana, P. Polu Raju, S S Asadi (2017), "Experimental Study on Bacterial Concrete with Partial Replacement of Cement by Fly Ash," Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2017, pp. 201–209. Article ID: IJCIET_08_04_026.
- N. Ganesh Babu, Dr. S. Siddiraju (2016), "An Experimental Study on Strength And Fracture Properties of Self-Healing Concrete," Volume 7, Issue 3, May–June 2016, pp. 398–406 Article ID: IJCIET_07_03_041.
- R. Gowrishankar, C. Mohanaselvan and R. Kartheeswaran (2017), "Experimental Study on Flexural Behaviour of Bacterial Concrete with Internal Curing," Volume IV, Issue VS, May 2017 | ISSN 2321– 2705.
- Ch. Koteswara Rao, P. Polu Raju, T. Naga Seshu Babu (2017), "Comparative Study on Analysis of Plain and RC Beam Using Abaqus," Volume 8, Issue 4, April 2017, pp. 1531–1538 Article ID: IJCIET_08_04_172.
- Ali A. Hameed, Mohannad H. Al-Sherrawi (2018), "Torsional Strength of Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete Beams," Volume 9, Issue 6.
- 10. June 2018, pp. 1388–1396, Article ID: IJCIET_09_06_155.
- 11. IS 10262:2009, "concrete mix proportioning guidelines"
- 12. IS 456: 2000, "plain and reinforced concrete code of practice"
- 13. IS 4031 (Part 4) 1988, "determination of consistency of standard cement paste code for practice"
- 14. IS 12269 : 2013, "ordinary portland cement, 53 grade specification"
- 15. IS 383 1970, "Specifications for coarse and fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete- guidelines"
- 16. IS SP16-1980 " design aids for reinforced concrete code for practice"

AUTHORS PROFILE



Syed Saifali PG Student, Department of civil engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India 603203.



P Jaganatthan, Educational Consultant, Department of civil engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India 603203.



K S Satyanarayanan Professor and Head, Department of civil engineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India 603203.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering & Sciences Publication